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Objectives

• Define PA-V5 Trauma Registry® “Opportunities for Improvement” (OFI) related to ED Triage.

• Describe a process for evaluation of ED Triage.

• Determine if an OFI related to ED Triage requires review through Trauma Center PIPS Process.

You are invited to participate in polling for this education:

Text REBECCAGEYER824 to 22333 once to join.
Undertriage Education
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Cribari Matrix Review Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not Major Trauma</th>
<th>Major Trauma</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest Level TTA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlevel TTA</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No TTA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overtriage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/C x 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(E+H) / (F+I) x 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Undertriage** = ISS > 15, NOT Highest Level of Trauma activation

**Overtriage** = ISS ≤ 15, Highest Level of Trauma activation

- **Undertriage** is defined as the "Limited or no activation with ISS 16-75 divided by the total patients with limited or no activation." **Benchmark:** ≤ 5%
- **Overtriage** is "Full trauma activation with ISS 0-15 divided by the total patients with full trauma activations." **Benchmark:** ≤ 50%

Need for Trauma Intervention (NFTI)  
(Highest Level of Trauma Activation Required)

• Blood transfusion within 4 hours of arrival  
• Discharge from ED to OR within 90 minutes of arrival  
• Discharge from ED to interventional radiology (IR)  
• Discharge from ED to ICU AND ICU length of stay at least 3 days  
• Require mechanical ventilation during the first 3 days, excluding anesthesia  
• Death within 60 hours of arrival


Roden-Foreman JW, Rapier NR, Foreman ML et al.  Rethinking the Definition of Major Trauma: The Need for Trauma Intervention Outperforms Injury Severity Score and Revised Trauma Score in 38 Adult and Pediatric Trauma Centers.  *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery.* 2019 (87) 3: 658-665.
Standards
OFI definitions clarified

**Triage: Over** - Overestimating the level of injury; Trauma activation initiated that did not meet institution's Trauma Activation guidelines—or was evaluated to be over triage based upon Cribari matrix review method or other evidence-based review method.

**Triage: Under** - Failing to initiate or upgrade to appropriate level of trauma activation based on institution's Trauma Activation guidelines. A patient that:

- Was not activated (or upgraded) but should have been based upon activation criteria or
- Was identified as Undertriage based upon Cribari matrix review method or
- Was identified as undertriage based upon PI review with other evidence-based method (i.e., Need for Trauma Intervention (NFTI)).
OFI definitions clarified

Delay: Trauma team arrival - Trauma Team arrival beyond the time expectation defined in your Trauma Team Response policy. Refers to provider arrival times. Does not apply to whether the level of activation was appropriate, as a delay in arrival can happen with a correct, or incorrect, activation level. OFI can also apply to delays in trauma team arrival for trauma consults.

Delay: Trauma team notification - Delay in initiating trauma team activation after patient arrival. For patients who initially did not meet the institution’s Trauma Activation criteria but who were found upon further examination, laboratory, or diagnostic studies or who had clinically deteriorated after their arrival to meet the institution’s Trauma Activation criteria as defined by your Trauma Team Response policy. All cases meeting this definition should be reviewed as part of your performance improvement plan. OFI can also apply to delays in trauma team notification for trauma consults.
Triage Algorithm
Case Scenario #1

62yo F unrestrained driver in two vehicle MVC. +LOC.

EMS— absent breath sounds on right side, RR 20s, HR 120s-130s, first SpO2 80%, placed on NRB, continued respiratory distress, No needle decompression. C-collar placed.

Level 2 trauma activation.
Does this case scenario meet any of the following PaV5 OFI definitions?

- Delay to Trauma Team Arrival
- Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI)
- Delay to Trauma Team Notification
- Overtriage
- None

Poll is full and no longer accepting responses
Triage: Under

A patient that:
• was not activated (or upgraded) but should have been based upon activation criteria

   Or
• was identified as undertriage based upon Cribari matrix review method

   Or
• was identified as undertriage based upon PI review with other evidence-based method (i.e., Need for Trauma Intervention (NFTI))
Algorithm Review

APPENDIX F: Algorithm for Undertriage PIPS review
(tool to assist in evaluating for undertriage; not to replace any hospital policy directing a)

Injured patient

Met activation criteria?

Activated?

Was the level of activation an OFI?

Overtriage

No OFI identified (pending additional PIPS review methods)

Undertriage (Triage: Under)
OFI identified: **Triage: Under**

- Once any OFI is identified, the case will then go through your PI case review, as indicated in your PI plan.
- Action plan(s) will be established, implemented and tracked.
- Loop closure, and the ability to say that *this OFI is less likely to occur because during the x-month focused review, no further events occurred* (with data supporting this statement) is the goal.

**Supporting data is key**
OFI identified: Triage: Under

- Triage: Under is less likely to occur because during the 6 focused review, undertriage rates improved from averaging 20% to <10% for 6 consecutive months, with our target goal of <5% for the past 3 months.

Supporting data is key
Case Scenario #2

45yo M s/p MCC into brick wall (helmeted) unknown LOC.

EMS- GCS 15, remained hemodynamically stable, SBP 110 with 24 RR. Repetitive questioning, amnestic to event.

Activated as Level 2 trauma activation. During trauma activation was hemodynamically stable, GCS 15.

ISS 22.
Does this case scenario meet any of the following PaV5 OFI definitions?

- Delay to Trauma Team Arrival
- Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI)
- Delay to Trauma Team Notification
- Overtriage
- None

Poll is full and no longer accepting responses

- Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI): 72%
- Overtriage: 8%
- None: 20%

Powered by Poll Everywhere
A patient that:

• was not activated (or upgraded) but should have been based upon activation criteria (motor score $\leq 5$ meets criteria for highest level activation)

Or

• was identified as undertriage based upon Cribari matrix review method

Or

• was identified as undertriage based upon PI review with other evidence-based method (i.e., Need for Trauma Intervention (NFTI))
Algorithm review
Algorithm review
OFI identified: **Triage: Under**

- **Triage: Under** is less likely to occur because during the 6 focused review, Cribari undertriage rates improved from averaging 20% to <10% for 6 consecutive months, hitting our target rate <5% for 3 months.

Supporting data is key
84 yo male restrained passenger in T-bone MVC. + airbag, - LOC earlier in the day. Pt had initially gone home after the accident during which he had increasing right hip pain and EMS was called.


Arrived to ED and taken to a regular room for workup. Repeat VS (45 min later) showed BP 77/52 and SpO2 89% on RA.

Pt then moved to trauma bay and activated as a trauma alert. FAST exam + for free fluid in RUQ. MTP initiated as patient remained hypotensive.
Does this case scenario meet any of the following PaV5 OFI definitions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay to Trauma Team Arrival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay to Trauma Team Notification</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtriage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Delay: trauma team notification

Delay: **Trauma team notification**: Delay in initiating trauma team activation after patient arrival. For patients who initially did not meet the institution’s Trauma Activation criteria but who were found upon further examination, laboratory, or diagnostic studies or who had clinically deteriorated after their arrival to meet the institution’s Trauma Activation criteria as defined by your Trauma Team Response policy. All cases meeting this definition should be reviewed as part of your performance improvement plan. OFI can also apply to delays in trauma team notification for trauma consults.
Algorithm review

APPENDIX F: Algorithm for Undertriage PIPS review
(tool to assist in evaluating for undertriage; not to replace any hospital policy directing activation or evaluation)

Injured patient

- Met activation criteria?
  - Yes: Activated?
  - No: No OFI identified (pending additional PIPS review methods)

- Clinical deterioration
  - Yes: Met activation criteria?
  - No: No OFI identified (pending additional PIPS review methods)
Algorithm review
OFI identified: Delay: Trauma Team Notification

- Delays in Trauma Team Notification is less likely to occur because during the 6 focused review, cases activated > 30 minutes after arrival decreased from 2/month to 0 cases in 6 months.

Supporting data is key
Case Scenario #4

18 yo F MVC driver -SB +AB, Going 40 mph, involved in a head-on collision, with significant front-end damage.

EMS: One episode of desaturation enroute. Pt. c/o facial, abdominal, hip pain. EMS contacted Medical Command, requested Trauma Alert.

ED: Level 1 Trauma Alert. Pt. AAO w/collar in place on arrival. Moving all extremities equally with full strength. VS unremarkable. Soft abdomen, no intervention required. Imaging negative for fracture or abnormality. CXR clear. Multiple abrasions on face, extremities, ecchymosis on hip. Discharged to home.
Does this case scenario meet any of the following PaV5 OFI definitions?

- Delay to Trauma Team Arrival
- Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI)
- Delay to Trauma Team Notification
- Overtriage
- None

Poll is full and no longer accepting responses.

60% for Overtriage, 40% for None.
Triage: Over

Activation that didn’t meet activation criteria, or was evaluated to be over triage based upon Cribari matrix review method or other evidence-based review method
Algorithm review
OFl identified: **Triage: Over**

- *Overtriage is less likely to occur because during the 6 focused review, overtriage rates decreased from 30% to 25.*
50 y.o. male presents to ED via POV after an MVC. A&O x1. He states that he blacked out and has no recollection of the accident. VSS. Noted to have a forehead abrasion, PERRLA but sluggish. C-collar placed. Noted to have obvious deformity with significant swelling in the left wrist and hand with delayed capillary refill.

Activated as highest-level Trauma activation - Rationale: Physician Judgment.

The Trauma team was in the OR with a previously activated Trauma patient; back-up Surgeon was called. The back-up Trauma Surgeon arrived 22 minutes into the activation which was being run by the ED Attending and residents.
Does this case scenario meet any of the following PaV5 OFI definitions?

- Delay to Trauma Team Arrival: 96%
- Undertriage (including Cribari, NFTI)
- Delay to Trauma Team Notification
- Overtriage: 4%
- None
Delay: trauma team arrival

Delay: trauma team arrival: Trauma Team arrival beyond the time expectation defined in your Trauma Team Response policy.

Does not apply to *whether* the level of activation was appropriate, as a delay in arrival can happen with a correct, or incorrect, activation level. OFI can also apply to delays in trauma team arrival for trauma consults.
Algorithm review
OFI identified: **Delay: Trauma team arrival**

- **Delayed response time is less likely to occur because during the 4-month focused review, response times decreased from 25 min to meeting the goal of < 15 min arrival times.**

Supporting data is key
Summary

• PI review of triage is a key process within your PI program.

• Although the process of triage hasn’t changed, review of the OFI definitions related to triage are beneficial to provide increased consistency of use across the state.

• As always, data is key to demonstrate loop closure of any PI event.
QUESTIONS?
What feedback do you have for the PIPS Committee regarding today's education?
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